

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/686,353                                  | 10/14/2003 .   | Robert E. Boyd       | 066491-007              | 6700             |
| . 7:  | 590 10/20/2006 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| Theodore D. Lienesch                        |                |                      | MOHANDESI, JILA M       |                  |
| Thompson Hine LLP 2000 Courthouse Plaza NE  |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 10 West Second Street Dayton, OH 45402-1758 |                |                      | 3728                    |                  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | 1 4 11 41 41   | T  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|   |   | 10/686,353   | BOYD ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Jila M. Mohandesi  | 3728   |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONA IS IN THE MAILING DONA IS IN THE MAILING DONA IS IN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE. | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[X]   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08-1</u> :   | 1.06   |  |  |  |  |
|   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\square$ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ′=  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ٥,۵   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dianositi   |   | in parte Quayle, 1999 O.D. 11, 40  | 50 O.G. 215.   |  |  |  |
| _   | ion of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,11,15-29 and 32-41</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,11,15-29 and 32-41</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)∐   | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority ι  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | • •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date                      |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/686,353

Art Unit: 3728

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitman (US patent no. 2,446,448). Whitman '448 discloses an insole comprising an insole material (rubber layer 11); a first elastomeric pad (resilient material such as sponge rubber 14 and 16) attached to the insole material and positioned to contact a metatarsus section of a foot; and a second elastomeric pad (non-resilient material such as hard rubber 15) attached to the insole material and positioned to contact a heel portion of a foot; the first and second pads being horizontally distinct from one another; and the first and second pads each having a rebound property, the rebound of the first pad differing from the rebound rate of the second pad.

The rebound property of an article may be tuned to meet the needs of a particular user and/or a particular activity.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,353

Art Unit: 3728

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 3

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 11, 15-29 and 32-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hardt (Pub. No. US 2002/0092203) in view of Whitman '488. Hardt discloses an insole comprising an insole material (polymeric foam sheet material 22 with a hardness from about 40 to about 65 on "00" shore Hardness scale); a first elastomeric pad (polyurethane elastomeric gel plug 26 with a shore "00" hardness in the range of from about 20 to about 60) attached to the insole material and positioned to contact a metatarsus section of a foot; and a second elastomeric pad (polyurethane elastomeric gel plug 24 with a shore "00" hardness in the range of from about 20 to about 60) attached to the insole material and positioned to contact a heel portion of a foot; the first and second pads being horizontally distinct from one another. Hardt discloses that the insole and the first and second pads having a rebound property, the rebound of the first and second pad differing from the rebound of the insole. However, Hardt does not appear to disclose the rebound of the first pad differing from the rebound of the second pad. Whitman '488 discloses an insole with first and second pad where the rebound of the first pad differing from the rebound rate of the second pad to prevent

Art Unit: 3728

excessive rotation of the foot toward the outer border. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to Make the rebound of the first pad different from the rebound of the second pad to prevent excessive rotation of the foot towards the outer border.

The rebound of an article may be tuned to meet the needs of a particular user and/or a particular activity.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6-9, 11, 15-29 and 32-41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3728

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M. Mohandesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

JMM October 16, 2006